DOI: 10.18805/ag.R-1699

Role of Rhizobium in chickpea (Cicer arietinum) production - A review

Zorawar Singh¹ and Guriqbal Singh^{*2}

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, Punjab, India. Received: 20-03-2017 Accepted: 25-11-2017

ABSTRACT

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the major food legume. It belongs to family Fabaceae (Leguminosae) and is widely grown for its nutritional benefits. In the present scenario, sustainability of agriculture has become a major issue of global concern as the intensive use of chemical inputs has an adverse impact on the environment. Use of biofertilizers such as *Rhizobium* can reduce the need for chemical fertilizers and decrease adverse environmental effects. The studies have shown positive effect of *Rhizobium* (*Mesorhizobium*) inoculation on growth attributes, symbiotic parameters, yield and yield components, nutrient uptake and quality in chickpea. Fluctuations in various environmental factors *viz*. pH, nutrient availability, temperature, moisture, salinity and herbicides greatly influence the growth, survival, and metabolic activity of nitrogen fixing bacteria and plants, and their ability to enter into symbiotic relationship.

Key words: Biological nitrogen fixation, Chickpea, Environment, Growth attributes, Nodules, Rhizobium, Salinity, Yield.

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), also called Bengal gram, is an important winter season pulse crop. In India, it was grown on area of 8.25 million ha during 2014-15, contributing 7.33 million tonnes to the national pulse basket with productivity of 889 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2016). Nutritionally, it is an important source of protein (16-20%) in vegetarian diet and has become more important to mitigate the problem of protein energy malnutrition (Prasad, 2012). It is also a rich source of carbohydrates, fat, total dietary fibre, vitamins and minerals (Hirdyani, 2014).

Soil is the habitat for prodigious number of living organisms which include the living forms both visible to the naked eye and on the other hand ones with microscopic dimensions. Among these, bacteria play a vital role in a various biochemical processes taking place in the soil and are very much beneficial as far as the plant growth and soil properties are concerned (Anonymous, 2014). Bacteria are able to promote plant growth by establishing symbiotic association with plant or as free living entities. Rhizobia are a unique group of bacterial symbionts of legumes that fix inert elemental atmospheric nitrogen (Araujo et al, 2008). Among all the N₂ fixing micro-organisms, symbiotic relationships between legumes and rhizobia are responsible for the largest contributions of fixed N to farming systems (Unkovich et al, 2008). Furthermore, it has been reported that rhizobial strains promote plant growth through other mechanisms such as phosphate solubilisation ability in some legumes (Sridevi and Mallaiah, 2009) and lowering of plant ethylene levels due to presence of ACC deaminase activity (Glick, 2012).

During the green revolution, the nutrient demand was substantially increased due to the introduction of high yielding varieties of wheat and rice which resulted in increased use of synthetic fertilizers. Till date, nitrogen (N) fertilizers are crucial part of crop production and rank first among the external inputs to maximize agricultural production. However, N fertilizers contribute significantly to environmental pollution and have capability to disrupt every form of life to a great extent (Verma et al, 2014). Thus, emphasis should be laid on developing new production techniques that are sustainable both agronomically and economically. Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) carried out by rhizobia-legume symbiosis can act as a renewable and environmentally sustainable source of N and can complement fertilizer inputs in crop production. It accounts for 65% of N currently used in agriculture (Gopalakrishnan et al, 2014). Under favourable conditions, the symbiotic N₂ fixation can produce as high as 176 kg N/ha and provide up to 85% of its N requirements in legumes.

Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF): Plants absorb most of nitrogen (N) in the NH_4^+ and NO_3^- forms. The crop plants cannot utilize N in its elemental form (N₂) for their growth and development and hence need conversion to a usable form. The conversion from unavailable to available form takes place through one of the following pathways:

- Fixation by symbiotic micro-organisms, especially in the roots of legumes
- Fixation by free-living micro-organisms in the soil
- Fixation through various industrial processes such as Haber-Bosch Process

^{*}Corresponding author's e-mail: singhguriqbal@pau.edu

¹Department of Agronomy, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, Punjab, India.

²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana-141 004, Punjab, India.

• Reaching soil as one of the oxides of N produced by atmospheric electric discharge.

BNF is the biochemical mechanism where rhizobia bacterial symbiont of legumes fix inert atmospheric nitrogen into a plant usable form under the presence of enzyme nitrogenase (Mohammadi and Sohrabi, 2012). The nitrogenase enzyme is a biological catalyst which is present in the bacteriod and mediates the reaction. BNF is an economically attractive and ecologically sound source of nitrogen and helps in decreasing the dependence on external inputs.

Mechanism of biological nitrogen fixation: Binding of rhizobia to plant surface (either root or shoot) is essential for establishing a symbiotic relationship between the host plant and bacterium. In early stages of symbiosis, a complex "molecular dialogue" takes place, which involves production of Nod factors by the bacterium and flavonoids by the roots of legume plants. This helps in the host recognition and initiation of the nodulation process. When bacteria colonize the root surface, it induces curling of root hair tips. Rhizobia caught in the root hairs, locally degrade the plant cell walls and form an invagination called infection thread through which bacterium reaches the cortical cells and within a week after infection, small sac like structures called nodules are visible on the roots of legume plants which enclose the bacteriod and are actual site for nitrogen fixation (Garg and Geetanjali, 2007; Laranjo et al, 2014). Rhizobia are able to metabolize atmospheric nitrogen and convert it into available form of nitrogen that plant can take up. In exchange, rhizobia take advantage of carbon substrates derived from the plant photosynthesis.

Effect of Rhizobium inoculation on plant growth: Nitrogen fixers benefit the plant by providing them atmospheric nitrogen, which contributes to the development of plant growth and biomass production. Rudresh et al (2005) studied the effect of inoculation with Rhizobium on growth attributes and observed that chickpea gave higher plant height (3.3%), number of branches per plant (23.3%) and biomass per plant (144%) as compared to uninoculated control. In similar findings, Elkoca et al (2008) revealed that Rhizobium inoculation increased plant height, shoot dry weight and chlorophyll content in chickpea. These findings are in agreement with that of Giri and Joshi (2010) in chickpea. The increment in the root length was also observed in the inoculated treatments (Solaiman et al, 2010) which in return resulted in increased root surface area. The increase in root surface area enhances the nutrient acquisition by plant from the soil (Yadav and Verma, 2014).

Branches are subsidiary parameter of plant biometery which are important to realise higher grain yields. Singh *et al* (2011) found that number of primary and secondary branches were higher when chickpea was inoculated with *Rhizobium*. Similarly, Shahzad *et al* (2014) reported greater plant height and shoot biomass per plant in *Rhizobium* inoculated chickpea in both irrigated and rainfed conditions. Beneficial effects on various growth attributes due to *Rhizobium* inoculation have also been reported (Togay *et al*, 2008; Singh *et al*, 2015) which may be attributed to improved nutrient acquisition (Solaiman *et al*, 2012), enhanced growth and development of photosynthetic organs and the rate of photosynthates accumulation (Moinuddin *et al*, 2014) as well as production of various phytohormones such as indole acetic acid (IAA) (Verma *et al*, 2013).

Symbiotic parameters: The number and dry weight of root nodules are index of degree of infection leading to nodule development (Bhattacharjya et al, 2009). The positive effect of Rhizobium inoculation on number and dry weight of nodules per plant in chickpea is very well documented by various researchers (Eusuf Zai et al 1999; Bhuiyaan et al, 2008a). Rhizobium inoculation resulted in excellent nodulation in contrast to poor nodulation in control (Khattak et al, 2006). Suryawanshi et al (2007) observed significant effect of inoculation on nodule number and nodule dry weight. Inoculation studies have been shown to increase nodule number and nodule dry and fresh weight per plant in chickpea (Verma et al, 2010; Sahai and Chandra, 2010). Similarly, Singh et al (2014) observed higher number (27.6%) and dry weight (22.2%) of nodules per plant as compared to uninoculated control in chickpea.

Leghaemoglobin, red iron-containing protein, occurs in the root nodules of leguminous plants where it facilitates the diffusion of oxygen to the symbiotic bacteriods in order to promote nitrogen fixation. Moreover, leghaemoglobin is synthesized by the symbiotic partners viz. the Rhizobia and the host plant. Rhizobium synthesizes the "haem" portion and plant synthesizes the "globine" portion. Lakshmanarao et al (1983) evaluated positive relationship between leghaemoglobin content and nitrogen fixation. Tagore et al (2013) observed higher leghaemoglobin content in the nodular tissue of chickpea inoculated treatment. Further, there was a positive correlation between nitrogenase activity and both number and dry weight of nodules (Miller et al, 1986). Seed inoculation showed significant increase in the nitrogenase activity in contrast to uninoculated control (Dutta and Bandhyopadhyay, 2009). Malik and Sindhu (2011) also reported similar findings in chickpea. Such positive benefits have also been reported by Das et al (2013) which may be attributed to presence of either low or ineffective population of native rhizobia nodulating chickpea in the soil allowing the inoculant strain to form greater portion of effective nodules on plant roots (Bhattacharjya and Chandra 2013).

Yield components and yield: The pathways by which the morphological, developmental and reproductive features of plants in a crop stand contribute to yield are called yield

components (Reddy, 2012). Many researchers around the globe have reported positive effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation on various yield components viz. number of pods per plant, seeds per pod and 1000-seed weight in chickpea.

Number of pods per plant and number of seeds per plant were reported to be 21.8% and 10.5% higher, respectively in chickpea inoculated with *Rhizobium* over uninoculated control (Sharar *et al*, 2000). Further, Ali *et al* (2004) revealed that 1000-seed weight was significantly better with inoculation. Khan *et al* (2003) in a similar study observed significantly higher number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant and 1000-seed weight in chickpea. These findings are in agreement with that of Akhtar and Siddiqui (2009) and Meena *et al* (2013).

El Hadi and Elsheikh (1999) studied the effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation on the grain yield of chickpea and reported that inoculation with *Rhizobium* increased yield by 72 and 70% in the first and second year, respectively, in comparison to uninoculated control. Similarly, Maleki *et al* (2009) conducted a pot experiment in Iran and reported higher yield where rhizobial inoculation was done as compared to uninoculated control. Furthur, Ogola (2015) from South Africa reported increase in the grain yield to the tune of 7.9% with *Rhizobium* inoculation in a clayey and slightly acidic soil.

The increase in the yield components through seed inoculation might be due to higher nodulation and more nutrient availability, resulting in vigorous plant growth and dry matter accumulation, which in turn resulted in higher seed yield (Namwar *et al*, 2013; Uddin *et al*, 2014). More examples of the beneficial effects of *Rhizobium* inoculation in chickpea are given in Table 1.

Nutrient content, uptake and protein: Chickpea is valued for its high protein content and has about 16-20% protein in the grain. Since, protein content in grain is directly associated with the nitrogen content, which can be expressed as follows:

Protein content (%) = Nitrogen content (%) \times 6.25

Hence, increase in the nitrogen content will result in increase in the protein content. Qureshi *et al* (2009) reported *Rhizobium* inoculation produced higher grain N and P content in comparison to uninoculated control. Similarly, Erman *et al* (2011) found that grain as well as shoot N and P content was higher where the chickpea was inoculated with *Rhizobium*. These findings are in line with those of Yagmur and Kaydan (2011) and Tagore *et al* (2014) in chickpea. Further, Kaur *et al* (2015) reported 61.1% and 11.4% greater grain N and P content, respectively in *Mesorhizobium* inoculated chickpea. Kumar *et al* (2014) also found significantly higher protein content in *Rhizobium* inoculated plots in comparison to uninoculated control.

Similarly, remarkable improvements in uptake of N and P by both grain and shoot have been observed with *Rhizobium* inoculation in chickpea. In a field experiment, Sahai and Chandra (2011) found higher N and P uptake in both grain and shoot when chickpea was inoculated with *Mesorhizobium* sp. in contrast to uninoculated control. This might be due to increased nitrogen fixation (Rokhzadi and Toashih, 2011) as well as improvement in root growth and root behaviour which favourably influence nutrient acquisition (Das *et al*, 2012).

Residual soil nutrient status: Use of Rhizobium inoculants helps in improving available N and P in the soil after crop harvest which can be utilized by the next crop (Abdalla et al, 2013). Similarly, Chandra and Pareek (2015) recorded 0.6%, 6.5% and 4.3% higher organic carbon, available N and available P, respectively, in a Rhizobium inoculated chickpea. These findings are in line with those of Zaidi et al (2003) and Tagore et al (2013). Moreover, higher soil microbial biomass carbon was found in Mesorhizobium inoculated chickpea (Bhattacharjya and Chandra, 2013). These results may be attributed to production of more crop biomass due to inoculation and consequently higher return of organic residues and exudates into the soil enhancing microbial biomass and activities (Babu et al, 2015). The pulse crops residues have lower C:N ratio, as a result of which immobilization after incorporation in the soil is low,

Species	Strain	Yield (q/ha)	Yield (q/ha)	Percent increase	Reference
(Uninoculated) (Inoculated)					
Rhizobium sp.	Thal-8	15.2	18.6	22.4	Aslam et al (2000)
Rhizobium sp.	TAL-620	15.2	18.1	19.1	Aslam et al (2000)
Rhizobium sp.	CC-1192	13.8	17.3	25.4	Khattak et al (2006)
Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. Ciceri	_	11.6	12.6	8.3	Namwar et al (2011)
Mesorhizobium ciceri	LN 7007	19.0	22.1	11.8	Sahai and Chandra (2011)
Sinorhizobium ciceri	EAL 001	7.90	8.7	11.2	Messele and Pant (2012)
Mesorhizobium ciceri	CH-1233	19.4	21.7	11.8	Bhattacharjya and Chandra (2013)
Rhizobium sp.	BHURC03	20.1	23.8	22.8	Verma et al (2010)
Mesorhizobium ciceri	LGR33	21.4	23.1	7.9	Mansotra et al (2015)
Mesorhizobium sp.	Cp41	21.7	25.9	19.3	Tena et al (2016)

Table 1. In success in small visit of shistory due to in contation with different Dhirshi

which consequently enhances N availability to subsequent crops.

Environmental factors affecting legume-rhizobia symbiosis: Suboptimal levels of several environmental factors can limit both legume plant growth as well as N_2 fixing activities. Fluctuations in pH, nutrient availability, salinity, temperature, herbicides and moisture greatly influence the growth, survival, and metabolic activity of nitrogen fixing bacteria and plants, and their ability to enter into symbiotic relationship (Mohammadi *et al*, 2012).

Soil salinity: Salinization is the accumulation of watersoluble salts in the soil profile to a level that have detrimental impacts on agricultural production, environmental health, and economic welfare. Salinity is an increasing agricultural problem in many regions worldwide. The total global area of salt-affected soils including saline and sodic soils is 831 million hectares, extending over all the continents including Asia, Africa, America, Australia and Europe. It is a serious threat to the production of grain legumes in the semi-arid and arid regions of the world (Rengasamy, 2006).

Over 40 years ago, Bhardwaj (1975) reported that in legume-Rhizobium symbiosis legumes are more sensitive to salinity as compared to their microbial symbionts. Salinity does not affect colonization of root by rhizobia (Flowers et al, 2010) but it causes significant reduction in nodulation, nodule weight and efficiency of fully formed nodules in chickpea (Singleton and Bohlool, 1984) and hence decreases the proportion of those nodules that are initiated in saline conditions that are able to develop into active nitrogen fixing nodules. Elsheikh and Wood (1990) reported that nodulation was completely inhibited at 7 dS m⁻¹ and the chickpea plants died at 8 dS m⁻¹. Rao et al (2002) revealed that plants at pH 8.9 showed the least effect of stress and nodules were healthy and pink. But with increase in pH to 9.0 and 9.2 the nodules became black in colour and were damaged. Reduced nodule formation by the chickpea could have been due to the adverse effects of salinity on the nodule initiation.

Soil acidity: Plant growth and most soil processes, including nutrient availability and microbial activity, are favoured by a soil pH range of 5.5 - 8. When pH of the soil declines, aluminium solubility in soil solution increases which is toxic to plants as a result of which root growth is retarded. In India, nearly 25 million hectares of cultivated lands with pH less than 5.5 are critically degraded. The productivity of these soils is very low (one tonne/ha) due to deficiencies of P, Ca, Mg, Mo and B and toxicities of Al and Fe (IISS Vision 2030).

Low pH in top layer of the soil may affect microbial activity, significantly decreasing legume nodulation. Most leguminous plants require a neutral or slightly acidic soil for growth. Soil acidity limits symbiotic nitrogen fixation by reducing the chances of *Rhizobium* survival and its persistence in soils, and ultimately reducing nodulation in legumes (Taylor *et al*, 1991). In most of the cases, a pH sensitive stage in nodulation occurs early in the infection process and that *Rhizobium* attachment to root hairs is one of the stages affected by acidic conditions in soils. At or below pH 4.8, aluminium will reduce root growth while manganese disrupts photosynthesis and other functions of growth, resulting in the reduction of nitrogen fixation by rhizobia (Zahran, 1999)

Temperature: High soil temperature is one of the most critical factors which can prevent the development of a symbiotic association between the host plant and microsymbiont especially in arid and semi-arid regions. For most of rhizobia, optimum temperature range for growth is 28 to 31°C and majority of them are unable to grow at 38°C (Graham, 1992). Temperature influences the survival of free rhizobia as well as the molecular dialogue between host and rhizobia. Elevated temperature can have inhibiting effect on microsymbiont adherence to root nodules, on nodule structure and on legume root nodule functioning (Zahran, 1999).

Rupela and Rao (1984) reported that with increase in the temperature to 35°C there was significant decrease in nodule number as well as nodule dry mass which may be attributed to drastic decrease in photosynthesis at high temperature and hence reduced supply of photosynthates to *Rhizobium*. These findings are in line with that of Jain *et al* (2014) who also revealed the negative effects of high temperature on nitrogen fixation in chickpea. However, it has been reported that when plant is subjected to high temperature conditions specific set of proteins are over produced, termed as heat shock protein, which are important for survival during temperature stress conditions (Rodrigues, 2006).

Moisture: Soil-water content have direct effect on the growth of rhizobia present in the rhizosphere of the plant by decreasing water activity below critical tolerance limits or on the other hand by creating negative impact on the plant growth, vigour, root architecture, and exudations from host plants (Mohammadi *et al*, 2012). Population levels of rhizobia increases with increase in moisture level until waterlogging whereas poor nodulation is reported in arid soils with low moisture level resulting in lower rhizobial population.

In waterlogged conditions, there is inhibition of root hair development as well as nodulation sites. Moreover, normal diffusion of O_2 in the root system of plants is also negatively affected. This lack of O_2 is a major problem for root respiration and results in inhibition of nitrogenase activity and nodule oxygen permeability (Serraj and Sinclair, 1996). Gan *et al* (2008) reported that chickpea grown under different moisture stresses significantly influences the N₂- fixing efficiency of the nodules. Furthur, they reported that N_2 fixation is more sensitive to the effect of waterlogging than plant growth. Low soil moisture conditions at early stages affects the rhizosphere colonization and nodule formation by *Rhizobium* whereas water stress at the late vegetative stage decreases the nitrogen fixation efficiency of nodules. Lower dry weight of nodules was reported where irrigation was given 15 days before sowing as compared to 7 days before sowing due to moisture stress during crop growth (Singh *et al*, 2011) in chickpea.

Mineral Nutrients

1. Nitrogen: Nitrogen available to the legumes is mostly in the form of NO_3^- ions which are produced by oxidation of NH_4^+ . However, the capacity of nitrogen fixation of a nodulating as well as nodulated legumes are influenced in a number of ways by the mineral nitrogen in the soil in which it is grown:

i. Higher concentration of nitrate or ammonia always depresses the nodulation process in legumes in the early stages of growth.

ii. The nitrogen fixation efficiency of well-nodulated legume is always suppressed by nitrate ions.

Research findings of Abbasi *et al* (2013) revealed that the number of nodules and nodule dry weight increased with incremental dose of nitrogen upto 50 kg N/ha, however these parameters significantly decreased with higher doses of nitrogen. In similar findings, Namwar *et al* (2011) observed decrease in nodule number and dry weight with higher dose of nitrogen (100 kg urea/ha) as compared to 75 kg/ha. In a pot experiment, the application of nitrate to nodulated plants gave rise to structural degradation of the nodule in chickpea (Sheokand *et al*, 1998). In greenhouse experiment, the control treatment where no nitrogen was added showed the maximum number of nodules per plant that were significantly better than all other treatments *viz*. 50, 100 and 100 kg N/ha (David and Khan, 2001).

2. Phosphorus: The process of symbiotic nitrogen fixation is significantly influenced by the application of phosphorus (P) to the legumes. In presence of adequate P, the bacterial cells became motile and flagellate which is the pre-requisite for bacterial migration, but in the absence of P or with inadequate supply the infection remains latent leading to the poor development of nodules (Dutta and Bandyopadhyay, 2009). It promotes early root formation and the formation of lateral, fibrous and healthy roots, which is very important for nodule formation and ultimately the nitrogen fixation (Madzivhandila *et al*, 2012; Dotaniya *et al*, 2014).

Tripathi *et al* (2013) reported that incremental doses of phosphorus along with *Rhizobium* increased nodules per plant by 31.2% over *Rhizobium* alone. In a similar study, Das *et al* (2013) revealed that 45 kg P_2O_5 /ha gave 24.2% more plant root nodules per plant over control in chickpea but was found to be statistically at par with 30 kg P_2O_5/ha . Higher nitrate reductase activity as well as leghaemoglobin content was observed when *Rhizobium* inoculation was combined with phosphorus in contrast to *Rhizobium* alone (Moinuddin *et al*, 2014). The improvement in leghaemoglobin content and nitrogenase activity of nodules by P application may be attributed to profuse nodulation leading to increased nitrogen fixation

3. Molybedenum: Micronutrients play an important role in increasing the yield of pulse and oilseed legumes through their effects on plant itself and on the nitrogen fixing symbiotic process. Molybdenum is one of the key micronutrients in the legume production since it is an essential component of nitrate reductase and nitrogenase enzyme which mediates the ion exchange and control the reduction of inorganic nitrate and helps in fixation of inert elemental nitrogen into ammonia. Bhuiyan et al (2008b) reported that inoculation with Rhizobium along with molybdenum application significantly improved the root length as well as number of nodules per plant. These findings are in corroboration with those of Chatterjee and Bandyopadhyay (2015) in cowpea. Similarly, Poonia and Pithia (2014) reported higher number of root nodules when chickpea seeds were inoculated with Rhizobium and seed treated with molybdenum.

Herbicides: Herbicide application is one of the most promising methods of weed control in field crops. The application of herbicides results in the accumulation of these herbicidal chemicals in the upper layer of soil (0-15 cm) which is the seat for various microbiological activities and biochemical reactions. Various researchers have reported the adverse effect of herbicides on nodule formation, nodule growth, nodule efficiency and activities of beneficial microorganisms such as *Rhizobium* in soil (Bai and Nanjappa, 2003; Ahemad and Khan, 2013).

Khan *et al* (2004) reported that application of isoproturon at 10 times higher rate (20 μ g a.i./g) decreased the nodule number by 32.3% in contrast with control (no herbicide application) while 10 times higher dose of bentazone (11 μ g a.i./g) and 2,4-D (17.5 μ g a.i./g) completely inhibited the nodule formation suggesting that higher concentration of these herbicides is highly toxic for *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis in chickpea. Isoproturon, bentazone and 2,4-D at 2.0, 1.1 and 1.75 μ g a.i./g recorded 39.5, 42.8 and 33.7 number of nodules/plant as compared to 40.5 in untreated control. Further, decrease in the nitrogenase activity was also observed due to the application of these herbicides which may be attributed to damage in the photosynthetic apparatus as well as alteration in nodule cortex structure. The effects of herbicides on the legume-

AGRICULTURAL REVIEWS

rhizobia symbiosis summarized by Parsa *et al* (2014) are as follows:

(i) Reduction of root biomass which leads to limit the number of available sites for rhizobia to attach to root hairs

(ii) Alteration in the carbohydrate preparation of the root nodules

(iii) Alteration in the nitrogenase enzyme activity which is critical for nitrogen fixation

(iv) Inhibiting or inactivating the biochemical dialogue which takes place between host plant and microbial symbiont (*Rhizobium*) which is essential for nodulation process.

CONCLUSION

It is evident from the studies that *Rhizobium* inoculation has positive impact on the growth, symbiotic parameters, yield and yield attributes, nutrient uptake and quality in chickpea. This can be attributed to improved nutrient acquisition (viz. biological nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubilisation), production of phytohormones and various other mechanisms. For reducing the cost of cultivation there is a need of integrated use of *Rhizobium* and inorganic fertilizers. Furthermore, environmental conditions such as pH, nutrient availability, temperature, herbicides and moisture have a significant effect on legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis and its nitrogen fixation efficiency

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, A., Jafari, D. and Sharifi, R.S. (2013). Nitrogen rates effects and seed inoculation with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and total dry matter of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Technical J. Engg. Applied Sci.* 3: 3275-3280.
- Abdalla, A.S., Abdelgani, M.E. and Osman, A.G. (2013). Effects of biological and mineral fertilization on yield, chemical composition and physical characteristics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seeds. *Pakistan J. Nutrition*. **12**: 1-7.
- Ahemad, M. and Khan, M. S. (2013). Pesticides as antagonists of rhizobia and the legume-Rhizobian symbiosis: a paradigmatic and mechanistic outlook. Biochem. Molecule Biol. 1: 63-75.
- Akhtar, M.A. and Siddiqui, Z.A. (2009). Effects of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and *Rhizobium* sp. on the growth, nodulation, yield and root-rot disease complex of chickpea under field condition. *African J. Biotech* **8**: 3489-3496.
- Ali, H., Khan, M.A. and Randhawa, S.A. (2004). Interactive effect of seed inoculation and phosphorus application on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *International J. Agric. Biol.* 6: 110-112.
- Anonymous. (2016). Area, production and productivity of gram in India. http://www.indiastat.com/
- Anonymous. (2014). Handbook of Agriculture. Pp 197-198. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- Araújo, A.S.F., Figueiredo, M.V.B., Monteiro, R.T.R. (2008). Nitrogen Fixation Research Progress. Pp 1-13. Nova Science Publishers, Brazil.
- Aslam, M., Mahmood, I.A., Sultan, T., Ahmad, S. and Zahid, M.A. (2000). Growth and yield response of chickpea (*Cicer arientinum*) to various *Rhizobium* strains fertilized with different phosphorus levels. *International J. Agric. Biol.* 2: 89-91.
- Babu, S., Prasanna, R., Bidyarani, N., Nain, L. and Shivay, Y.S. (2015). Synergistic action of PG Pagents and *Rhizobium spp*. for improved plant growth, nutrient mobilization and yields in different leguminous crops. *Biocatalysis Agric. Biotech.* 4: 456–464.
- Bai, S.K. and Nanjappa, H.V. (2003). Effect of herbicides on weed count and weight, yield and nodulation in soybean. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 16: 208-12.
- Bhardwaj, K.K.R. (1975). Survival and symbotic characterstics of Rhizobium in saline-alkali soils. Plant Soil 43: 377-385.
- Bhattacharjya, S. and Chandra, R. (2013). Effect of inoculation methods of *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and PGPR in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) on symbiotic traits, yield, nutrient uptake and soil properties. *Legume Res.* 36: 331-37.
- Bhattacharjya, S., Parul and Chandra, R. (2009). Interaction of *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and rhizospheric bacteria on nodulation, growth and yield of chickpea. J. Food Legumes. 22: 137-139.
- Bhuiyaan, M.A.H., Khanam, D., Hossain, M.F. and Ahmad, M.S. (2008a). Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation on nodulation and yield of chickpea in calcareous soil. *Bangladesh J. Agril. Res.* 33: 549-554.
- Bhuiyan, M.M.H., Rahman, M.M., Afroze, F., Sutradhar, G.N.C., and Bhuiyan, M.S.I. (2008b). Effect of phosphorus, molybdenum and *Rhizobium* inoculation on growth and nodulation of mungbean. J. Soil Nature. 2: 25-30.
- Chandra, R. and Pareek, N. (2015). Comparative performance of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria with rhizobia on symbiosis and yields in urdbean and chickpea. J. Food Legumes 28: 86-89.
- Chatterjee, R. and Bandyopadhyay, S. (2015). Effect of boron, molybdenum and biofertilizers on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) in acid soil of eastern Himalayan region. J. Saudi Society Agric. Sci. 11: 26-31.
- Das, S., Pareek, B.L., Kumawat, A. and Dhikwal, S.R. (2013). Effect of phosphorus and biofertilizers on productivity of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in north western Rajasthan, India. *Legume Res.* **38**: 511-14.
- Das, S., Pareek, N., Raverkar, K.P., Chandra, R. and Kaustav, A. (2012). Effectiveness of micronutrient application and *Rhizobium* inoculation on growth and yield of chickpea. *Int. J. Agric. Env. Biotech.* **5**: 445-452.
- David, J. and Khan, K.S. (2001). Effect of nitrogen application in inoculated chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). J. Biol. Sci. 1: 87-89.
- Dotaniya, M.L., Pingoliya, K.K., Lata, M., Verma, R., Regar, K.L., Deewan, P. and Dotaniya, C.K. (2014). Role of phosphorus in chickpea production. *African J. Agric. Res.* **9**: 3736-3743.
- Dutta, D. and Bandyopadhyay, P. (2009). Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arientinum* L.) to application of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer in laterite soil. Archives Agron. Soil. Sci. 55: 147-55.

36

- El Hadi, E.A. and Elsheikh, E.A.E. (1999). Effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation and nitrogen fertilization on yield and protein content of six chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars in marginal soils under irrigation. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 54: 57–63.
- Elkoca, E., Kantar, F. and Sahin, F. (2008). Influence of nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the nodulation, plant growth, and yield of chickpea. *J. Plant Nutrition* **31**: 157–171.
- Elsheikh, E.A.E and Wood, M. (1990). Effect of salinity on growth, nodulation and nitrogen yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J. Experimental Botany **41**: 1263-1269.
- Eusuf Zai, A.K., Solaiman, A.R.M. and Ahmed, J.U. (1999). Response of some chickpea varieties to *Rhizobium* inoculation in respect to nodulation, biological nitrogen fixation and dry matter yield. *Bangladesh J. Microbiol.* **16**: 135-144.
- Erman, M., Demir, S., Ocak, E., Tufenkci, S., Oguz, F. and Akkopru, A. (2011). Effects of *Rhizobium*, arbuscular mycorrhiza and whey applications on some properties in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated and rainfed conditions. *Field Crops Res.* 122: 14-24.
- Flowers, T.J, Gaur, P.M., Gowda, C.L.L., Krishnamurthy, Samineni, S., Siddique, K.M., et al. (2010). Salt sensitivity in chickpea. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **33**: 490–509,
- Gan, Y.T., Jayakumar, P., Symons, S. and McDonald, C.L. (2008). Synergistic effect of N and moisture on biochemical property of nodules and seed yield in chickpea. *Australian J. Crop Sci.* 1: 11-21.
- Garg, N. and Geetanjali (2007). Symbiotic nitrogen fixation in legume nodules: Process and Signaling. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **27**: 59–68.
- Giri, N. and Joshi, N.C. (2010). Growth and yield response of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*) to seed inoculation with *Rhizobium* sp. *Nature Science* 8: 232-236.
- Glick, B. R. (2012). Plant growth-promoting bacteria: Mechanisms and applications. Scientifica 12: 1-15.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Sathya, A., Vijayabharathi, R., Varshney, R.K., Gowda, C.L. and Krishnamurthy, L. (2014). Plant growth promoting rhizobia: Challenges and opportunities. *Biotech.* 4: 1-23.
- Graham, P.H. (1992). Stress tolerance in *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* under adverse soil conditions. *Canadian J. Microbiol.* **38**: 475-484.
- Hirdyani, H. (2014). Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and value added products. *Indian J. Comm. Health* **26**: 102-06.
- IISS Vision 2030 (2011) Vision 2030. Pp. 14-15. Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal.
- Jain, A.K, Ruhela, A.K. and Panwar, J.D.S. (2014). Effect on nodulation and enzymatic activity related to nitrogen metabolism in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) under elevated temperature conditions. *Bulletin Biosci.* **5**: 1-9.
- Kaur, N., Sharma, P. and Sharma, S. (2015). Co-inoculation of *Mesorhizobium* sp. and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria *Pseudomonas* sp. as bio-enhancer and bio-fertilizer in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Legume Res. 38: 367-374.
- Khan, M.A, Ali, A. and Tanveer, A. (2003). Effect of seed inoculation and different levels of phosphorus on the yield and yield components of chickpea. *Pakistan J. Life Soc. Sci.* 1: 106-108.

Khan, M.S., Zaidi, A. and Aamil, M. (2004). Influence of herbicides on chickpea-Mesorhizobium symbiosis. Agronomie 24: 123-127.

- Khattak, S.G., Khan, D.F., Shah, S.H., Madani, M.S. and Khan, T. (2006) Role of rhizobial inoculation in the production of chickpea crop. Soil Environ. 25: 143-145.
- Kumar, D., Arvadiya, L.K., Kumawat, A.K., Desai, K.L. and Patel, T. U. (2014). Yield, protein content, nutrient content and uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as influenced by graded levels of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers. *Res. J. Chem. Environ. Sci.* 2: 60-64.
- Lakshmanarao, K. and Singh, K. (1983). Effect of Phosphorus and biofertilizers on leghaemoglobin and nitrogen fixation of chickpea. *Madras Agric. J.* **70**: 572-77.
- Laranjo, M., Alexandre, A. and Oliveira, S. (2014) Legume growth-promoting rhizobia: An overview on the *Mesorhizobium* genus. *Microbiological Res.* 169: 2–17.
- Madzivhandila, T., Ogola, J.B.O. and Odhiambo, J.J.O. (2012). Growth and yield response of four chickpea cultivars to phosphorus fertilizer rates. *J Food, Agric. Environ.* **10**: 451-455.
- Maleki, A., Pournajaf, M., Naseri, R. and Rashnavadi, R. (2014). Effect of supplemental irrigation, nitrogen chemical fertilizer, and inoculation with *Rhizobium* bacteria on grain yield and its components of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions. *Int. J. Biol, Biomol, Agric, Food and Biotech Engg.* 8: 164-168.
- Malik, D.K. and Sindhu, S.S. (2011). Production of indole acetic acid by *Pseudomonas* sp.: Effect of coinoculation with *Mesorhizobium* sp. *Cicer* on nodulation and plant growth of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 17: 25–32.
- Mansotra, P., Sharma, P. and Sharma, S. (2015) Bioaugmentation of *Mesorhizobium cicer*, *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Piriformospora indica* for sustainable chickpea production. *Physiol.Mol. Biol. Plants* **21:** 385-93
- Meena, M.R., Dawson, J. and Prasad, M. (2013). Effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Bioinfolet.* **10**: 235-37.
- Messele, B. and Pant, L. M. (2012). Effects of inoculation of *Sinorhizobium ciceri* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on nodulation, yield and nitrogen and phosphorus uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in Shoa Robit area. J. Biofetil. Biopestici. 3: 1-6.
 Miller, J.C., Zary, K.W. and Fernandez, G.C.J. (1986). Inheritance of N, ûxation eûciency in cowpea. Euphytica 35: 551-560.
- Mohammadi, K. and Sohrabi, Y. (2012). Bacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: A review. J Agric. Bio. Sci. 7: 307-316.

AGRICULTURAL REVIEWS

- Mohammadi, K., Sohrabi, Y., Heidari, G., Khalesro, S. and Majidi, M. (2012). Effective factors on biological nitrogen fixation. *African J. Agric. Res.* **7**: 1782-1788.
- Moinuddin, Dar, T.A., Hussain, S., Khan, M.A., Hashmi, N., Idrees, M., Naeem, M. and Ali A. (2014). Use of N and P biofertilizers together with phosphorus fertilizer improves growth and physiological attributes of chickpea. *Global J. Agri. Agric. Sci.* 2: 168-74.
- Namvar, A., Sharifi, R.S., Khandan, T. and Moghadam, M.J. (2013). Seed inoculation and inorganic nitrogen fertilization effects on some physiological and agronomical traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in irrigated condition. J. Central European Agric. 14: 28-40.
- Namvar, A., Sharifi, R.S., Sedghi, M., Zakaria, R.A., Khandan, T. and Eskandarpour, B. (2011). Study on the effects of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield components and nodulation state of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Analysis 42: 1097-1109.
- Ogola, J.B.O. (2015). Growth and yield response of chickpea to *Rhizobium* inoculation: Productivity in relation to interception of radiation. *Legume Res.* **38**: 837-843.
- Parsa, M., Aliverdi, A. and Hammami, H. (2014). Activity of the recommended and optimized rates of pyridate on chickpea -Mesorhizobium mediterraneum symbiosis. Not. Sci. Biol. 6: 92-98.
- Poonia, T.C. and Pithia, M.S. (2014). Increasing efficiency of seed inoculation with biofertilizers through application of micronutrients in irrigated chickpea. *African J. Agric. Res.* **9**: 2214-2221.
- Prasad R. (2012). Textbook of Field Crops Production. Vol. 1. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. Pp 320-321 Qureshi, M.A., Shakir, M.A., Naveed, M. and Ahmad, M.J. (2009). Growth and yield response of chickpea to co-inoculation with Mesorhizobium ciceri and Bacillus megaterium. J. Animal Plant Sci. 19: 205-211.
- Rao, D.L.N., Giller, K.E., Yeo, A.R. and Flowers, T.J. (2002). The effects of salinity and sodicity upon nodulation and nitrogen fixation in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Annals Botany 89: 563-570.
- Reddy, S.R. (2012). Principles of Crop Production. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. Pp 48-49
- Rengasamy, P. (2006). World salinization with emphasis on Australia. J. Experimental Botany. 57: 1017-1023.
- Rodrigues, C.S., Laranjo, M. and Oliveira, S. (2006). Effect of heat and pH stress in the growth of chickpea mesorhizobia. *Current Microbiol* **53**: 1-7.
- Rokhzadi, A. and Toashih, V. (2011). Nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) inoculated with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Australian J. Crop Sci.* 1: 44-48.
- Rudresh, D. L., Shivaprakash, M.K. and Prasad, R.D. (2005) Effect of combined application of *Rhizobium*, phosphate solubilizing bacterium and *Trichoderma* spp. on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea (*Cicer aritenium* L.). *Applied Soil Ecology* 28: 139–146.
- Rupela O.P. and Rao J.V.D.K.K. (1984). Effects of drought, temperature, and salinity on symbiotic nitrogen fixation in legumes, with, emphasis on chickpea and pigeonpea. Proceedings of the consultant workshop, 19-21 December 1984, ICRISAT Center, India.
- Sahai, P. and Chandra, R. (2010). Co-inoculation effect of liquid and carrier inoculants of *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and PGPR on nodulation, nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea. J. Food Legumes 23: 159-161.
- Sahai, P. and Chandra, R. (2011). Performance of liquid and carrier-based inoculants of *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and PGPR (*Pseudomonas diminuta*) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) on nodulation, yield and soil properties. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 59: 263-267
- Serraj, R. and Sinclair, T.R. (1996). Inhibition of nitrogenase activity and nodule oxygen permeability by water deficit. *J. Experimental Botany* **47**: 1067-73.
- Shahzad, S.M., Khalid, A., Arif, M.S., Riaz, M., Ashraf, M., Iqbal, Z. and Yasmeen, T. (2014). Co-inoculation integrated with Penriched compost improved nodulation and growth of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under irrigated and rainfed farming systems. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 50: 1–12.
- Sharar, M.S., Ayub, M., Choudhuy, A. and Nadeem, M. (2000). Effect of NP application and inoculation on the growth and yield of gram (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 37: 155-157.
- Sheokand, S., Babber, S. and Swaraj, K. (1998). Nodule structure and functioning in *Cicer arietinum* as affected by nitrate. *Biologia Plantarum* **41**: 435-443.
- Singh, G., Sekhon, H.S. and Sharma, P. (2011). Effect of irrigation and biofertilizer on water use, nodulation, growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Archives Agron. Soil Sci. 57: 715-726.
- Singh, S., Bawa, S.S., Singh, S., Sharma, S.C. and Sheoran, P. (2015). Productivity, profitability and sustainability of rainfed chickpea under inorganic and biofertilization in foothills of north-west Himalayas. *Archives Agron. Soil Sci.* **61**: 1151–1163
- Singh, Y., Singh, B. and Kumar, D. (2014). Effect of phosphorus levels and biofertilizer on yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition. *Res. crops* **15**: 90-95.
- Singleton, P.W. and Bohlool B.B. (1984). Effect of salinity on nodule formation by soybean. Plant Physiol. 74: 72-76.
- Solaiman, A.R.M., Rabbani, D., Hosaain, G. M.A., Hossain and Alam, M.S. (2012) Influence of phosphorus and inoculation of *Rhizobium* amd AM fungi on growth and dry matter yield of chickpea. *Bangladesh J. Sci. Res.* **25**: 23-32.
- Solaiman, A.R.M., Talukder, M.S. and Rabbani M.G. (2010). Influence of some *Rhizobium* strains on chickpea: nodulation, dry matter yield and nitrogen uptake. *Bangladesh J. Microbiol.* 27: 61-64.
- Sridevi, M. and Mallaiah, K. V. (2009). Phosphate solubilization by Rhizobium strains. Indian J. Microbiol. 49: 98–102.

- Suryawanshi, A.V., Mandhare, V.K. and Jamadagni, B.M. (2007) Influence of *Rhizobium* strains on nodulation and grain yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Madras Agric. J.* **94**: 124-126.
- Tagore, G.S., Namdeo, S.L. and Shah, S.K. (2014). Effect of microbial inoculants on nutrient uptake, yield and quality of chickpea genotypes. Int. J. Agric. Sci and Vet. Med. 2: 19-23.
- Tagore, G.S., Namdeo, S.L., Sharma, S.K. and Kumar N. (2013). Effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculants on symbiotic traits, nodule leghemoglobin, and yield of chickpea genotypes. *International J. Agron.* **2013**: 1-8.
- Taylor, R.W., Williams, M.L and Sistani, K.R. (1991). Nitrogen fixation by soybean-*Bradyrhizobium* combinations under acidity, low pH and high Al stresses. *Plant Soil* **131**: 293-300.
- Tena, W., Meskel, E. and Walley, F. (2016) Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to inoculation with native and exotic *Mesorhizobium* strains in Southern Ethiopia. *African J. of Biotech*.15:1920-29.
- Togay, N., Togay, Y., Cimrin, K.M. and Turan, M. (2008). Effects of rhizobium inoculation, sulfur and phosphorus applications on yield, yield components and nutrient uptakes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *African J. Biotech.* 7: 776–782.
- Tripathi, L.K., Thomas, T. and Kumar, S. (2013). Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Asian J. Soil Sci.* **8**: 260-263.
- Unkovich, M., Herridge, D., Peoples, M., Cadisch, G., Boddey, B., Giller, K, Alves, B. and Chalk, P. (2008). *Measuring Plant-Associated Nitrogen Fixation in Agricultural Systems*. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra. Pp 16
- Uddin, M., Hussain, S., Khan, M.M.A., Hashmi, N., Idrees, M., Naeem, M. and Dar, T. A. (2014). Use of N and P biofertilizers reduces inorganic phosphorus application and increases nutrient uptake, yield and seed quality of chickpea. *Turkish J. Agric. Fores.* 38:47-54.
- Verma, A., Rawat, A. K. and More, N. (2014). Extent of nitrate and nitrite pollution in ground water of rural areas of Lucknow. Current World Environment 9: 114-122.
- Verma, J.P., Yadav, J. and Tiwari, K.N. (2010). Application of *Rhizobium* sp. BHURC01 and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nodulation, plant biomass and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *International J. Agric. Res.* 5: 148-153.
- Verma, J.P., Yadav, J., Tiwari, K.N. and Kumar, A. (2013). Effect of indigenous *Mesorhizobium* sp. and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Ecol. Engg.* 51: 282-86.
- Yadav, J. and Verma, J.P. (2014). Effect of seed inoculation with indigenous *Rhizobium* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nutrients uptake and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *European J. Soil Biology* **63**: 70-77.
- Yagmur, M. and Kaydan, D. (2011). Plant growth and protein ratio of spring sown chickpea with various combinations of *Rhizobium* inoculation, nitrogen fertilizer and irrigation under rainfed condition. *African J. Agric. Res.* 6: 2648-2654.
- Zahran, H.H. (1999). *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis and nitrogen fixation under severe conditions and in an arid climate. *Microbiol. Molecular Biol. Reviews* **63**: 968-989.
- Zaidi, A., Khan, M.S. and Amil, M. (2003). Interactive effect of rhizotrophic microorganisms on yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). European J Agron 19: 15–21.